**Record of the DN Proposal Examination**

*The supervisor should submit this completed form to the Student Services Office at the conclusion of the examination. Supervisor and student should keep a copy for their records.*

**Student Name: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Student Number: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**Date of Examination** (dd/mm/yy): \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Proposal Defense Examination Committee** *(Quorum includes supervisor, 2 committee members, and examiner)***:**

Chair of Examination Committee:

Supervisor:   
Internal Examiner:   
Supervisory Committee Member (voting):

Supervisory Committee Member (voting):

Supervisory Committee Member (non-voting):

Supervisory Committee Member (non-voting):

**Attestation of chair that the defence was conducted according to established guidelines:**

Student completed a presentation of <15 minutes.

The examiner and committee members were given sufficient time to ask questions.

There was adequate time for the student to respond to each question.

The defence was completed within 2 hours.

If applicable, requested accommodations were incorporated into the proposal defence.

|  |
| --- |
| Please list any accommodations: |

**Examination Voting and Result** *(The supervisor, 2 voting committee members, and examiner are asked to judge whether the proposal and its defense are acceptable. They should email their yes or no vote to the chair and student services. More than one negative vote (or abstention) constitutes a failure of the proposal examination):*

Pass:  Fail: 

*Please update* ***DN Student Progress Record*** *with examination results. If the student fails the examination, they may retake the exam once, provided the student has not reached the time limit for achieving candidacy (end of Year 3). If the second proposal examination is also graded as a Fail, the Faculty will recommend to the SGS that the student’s registration in the program be cancelled.*

**Summary Comments:** *(The supervisor typically takes separate and detailed notes during the exam. In the summary below, the supervisor should provide a higher-level summary of any strengths and challenges for both the written and oral components of the exam discussed during the examination. In the event of a failure the supervisor and chair may work together to ensure there is clear documentation of the reasons for the failure and recommendations for improvements required for a successful defence.)*

|  |
| --- |
|  |

**Signatures:**

Student:  
Supervisor:  
Chair of Examination Committee: